

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,200	07/29/2003	Paul O. Sheppard	00-32C1	5535
759	90 10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
Robyn Adams			RAO, MANJUNATH N	
Patent Departme	ent			
ZymoGenetics, l	Inc.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 Eastlake Avenue East			1652	
Seattle, WA 98	3102			
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/630 300	SHEPPARD ET	`Δ1			
Notice of Abandonment	10/629,200 Examiner	Art Unit	AL.			
		4050				
	Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D.	1652	14			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence ad	laress			
This application is abandoned in view of:	•					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of the office of period for reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on, but it does to a proposed reply was received on	f Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expired on _	<u></u> .				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject						
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.						
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL)		the statutory period	d of three months			
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	otice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.	the attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire	interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interform of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cl		se the period for se	eking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:						
		My				
		Manjunath N. Ra Primary Examine Art Unit: 1652				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	e of Abandonment	Part of Pa	per No. 20060928			